Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/667,947	RUSSELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shin-Lin Chen	1632	

All Participants:

(1) *Shin-Lin Chen*.

(2) *Patrick Finn III*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *20 December 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
27, 28 and 43

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposes minor changes on claims 27, 28 and 43 by changing the term "organism" to "patient", typographical error on page 1 line 4 of the specification and changing the term "Claims" to "What is claimed is:".

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)